

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,208	01/14/2004	Joseph W. Coburn JR.	15254C	3568
55547 7	7590 08/24/2005		EXAM	INER
R. GALE RHODES, ESQ. / MOSER IP LAW GROUP 1040 BROAD STREET 2ND FLOOR			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBUR	RY, NJ 07702		1772 DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/757,208	COBURN, JOSE	PH W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS le, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed)) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.	· •
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	is action is non-final.	, prosecution as to th	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a sheet of the correct and the correct to be a sheet of the correct and the correct and the correct to be a sheet of the correct to the	cepted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appli Drity documents have been rec Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Withdrawn Rejections

- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 1, 2, 6 and 8 as anticipated by Bonkowski et al. in the paper dated May 2, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments and explanations in the paper dated August 9, 2005.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 3 5, 7 and 9 12 over Bonkowski et al. in the paper dated May 2, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments and explanations in the paper dated August 9, 2005.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3 5 and 8 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonkowski et al. in view of Harelstad et al. (USPN 6,533,961).

Art Unit: 1772

Bonkowski et al. disclose a layer of transparent polymeric material having opposed surfaces (Column 4, lines 15 - 16 and 41 - 54; and Figure 1A, #14), one of said opposed surface provided with a plurality of parallel ridges and grooves, said ridges being parallel ridges formed by facets defining a convex surface joined by surfaces forming steps connecting the adjacent facets (Figure 1, #14; Column 4, 25 – 32) with an outer layer of substantially transparent color effect producing material mounted to one of said opposed surfaces (Column 4, lines 17 - 20) wherein said layer of transparent polymeric material and said layer of substantially transparent color effect producing material combining to produce in the presence of light a color effect image such that said layer of transparent polymeric material has an appearance other than that of a surface of said layer of transparent polymeric material and said image being other coincident with said opposed surfaces (Column 4, lines 57 - 59) and a layer of product mounting adhesive applied to the opposed surface of said material to which said layer of substantially transparent color effect producing material is not mounted (Column 9, line 63; Figure 8, #66) as in claim 1. With regards to claim 2, the layer of substantially color effect producing material is a layer of substantially transparent colored polymeric material (Column 7, lines 66 to Column 8, line 2). As in claim 6, the layer of substantially transparent color effect producing material is a layer of substantially transparent iridescent material and wherein said layer of transparent polymeric material and said layer of substantially transparent iridescent material produce in the presence of light a color effect image which is an iridescent color effect image (Column 1, lines 30 - 36). The ridges and grooves are coated with a layer of reflective metallic material (Figure 2B, #18; and Column 5, lines 3 - 14) as in claim 8. However, Bonkowski et al. fail to disclose the outer layer being a single color substantially transparent polymeric material, layer of substantially

Art Unit: 1772

transparent single color polymeric material is mounted to said one of said opposed surfaces by a layer of substantially transparent adhesive, substantially transparent adhesive is a layer of substantially clear single color transparent adhesive, and said layer of substantially transparent single color polymeric material is mounted to said opposed surfaces provided with said plurality of plurality of ridges and grooves by a layer of substantially transparent adhesive.

Harelstad et al. teach an outer layer being a single color substantially transparent polymeric material (Column 5, lines 16 – 18; Figures 1A and 1B, #16; Column 7, lines 45 – 47). the transparent single color polymeric material is mounted to said opposed surfaces provided with said plurality of plurality of ridges and grooves (Figures 1A - 1C, #16) for the purpose of forming an article that has durable pigment that has high visibility (Column 1, lines 10 - 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided a single color transparent material in Bonkowski et al. in order to have an article that has durable pigment that has high visibility as taught by Harelstad et al.

Regarding the use of a substantially transparent adhesive to adhere the layers of the decorative product together in claims 3 - 5, 7 and 9 - 12, Bonkowski et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the use of a substantially transparent adhesive to adhere the layers of the decorative product together. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an adhesive material to place the layers together in the

Art Unit: 1772

desired order instead of using the method of sputtering or physical vapor deposition (Column 3, lines 65 - 67; Column 5, lines 15 - 17) as disclosed by Bonkowski et al., since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 - 5 and 8 - 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,208

Art Unit: 1772

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1772

pln

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

8/22/05